up its opinion on certain bills then pending before the Legislative Council, *viz.*, the Small Cause Courts Bill and the Insolvency Bill. But no such opinion was received. This illustrates the practical difficulties in the way of favorably considering the proposal in question. There are other ways of gauging public opinion and the opinion of the Representative Assembly than by a direct reference in the manner suggested.

SUBJECT No. 4.

The Christian Converts' Disabilities Removal Regulation.

Messrs. S. R. Balakrishna Rao, Nagar Sabha; K. Shankaranarayana Rao, Shimoga Municipality; A. Annaiya Pandit, Mysore District Board; V. Raghavachar, Mysore Taluk; B. Narasinga Rao, Seringapatam Municipality; and M. Venkatakrishnaiya, Mysore City Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—This may not be passed into law, as it is offensive to the provisions of the Hindu and Mahomedan laws, relating to the devolution of property prevalent in the State. Cases of conversion are very rare and the intending convert will always be fully prepared to meet the consequences of his act.

The question of sentiment which is quite natural to all the subjects in this matter has to be respected. Both the Hindus and Mahomedans are at one on this question. Mr. Shankaranarayana Rao and certain other members expressed the thanks of the Assembly that the Bill was not accepted by the Legislative Council. He explained that the subject had been sent up by the members before the abovementioned decision was arrived at by the Council, and that, therefore, he had great pleasure in withdrawing it.

SUBJECT No. 5.

Introduction of the Weights and Measures Regulation.

(*a*) Messrs. Veene Rangaswamaiengar and K. Krishnachar, Seringapatam Taluk; V. Raghavachar and Madappa, Mysore Taluk; Badam Achaiya Setty, Yedatore Taluk; Seshagiraiya, Hunsur Taluk; Agali Venkataramaiya, Sira Taluk; Ramanujiengar and Hutchviregowda, Gubbi Taluk; Puttanna Chetty, Rumale Rudrappa, Kolur Ramappa, Dodballapur Taluk; and Chandrasekarappa, Dodballapur Municipality; Hanumanthe Gowda, Hoskote Taluk; Subbarayappa and Chikka Guruva Reddi, Bangalore Taluk; Hanumanthappa, Anekal Municipality; D. Sreekanta Rao, Devanhalli Taluk; Dalappa, Anekal Taluk; Nanjappa, Holalkere Taluk; Ramachandrappa Dube, Kumsi Sub-Taluk; Nadig Bheema Rao, Channagiri Taluk; Shama Udapa, Nagar Taluk; Venkoba Rao, Hole-Narsipur Municipality; and Dasappa, Arsikere Taluk.

(*b*) Mr. Seshagiraiya, Hunsur Taluk.

(*c*) Mr. Badam Achaiya Setty, Yedatore Taluk.

(*d*) Messrs. C. Srinivasa Rao and C. Vasudeva Rao, Chikmagalur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) Owing to the non-existence of uniform weights and measures in the State, the merchants have great scope to cheat the public. The introduction of a Regulation to enforce the use of uniform weights and measures may, therefore, be expedited.

(*b*) Now the merchants at Mysore use different sizes of *kolagas*. This leads to confusion. A uniform *kolaga* of eight seers may be ordered to be used.

(*c*) A uniform *kolaga* of nine seers may be introduced and an officer appointed to supervise the use of it.

(*d*) This question is being brought forward for consideration repeatedly. It is requested that the pound weight may be recognized and the maund weight reckoned in pounds. As for measures, a mixture of nine grains or "*Nava dhanya*" may be weighed and fixed at so many tolas per measure. The standard weight and measure so fixed by Government may be kept in the district and taluk treasuries so that they may be available for verification. It is found by actual weighment that the old and the new rupees vary in weight by so much as Rs. 5½ per thousand and therefore weighment with rupees cannot be accurate.

Several members asked for the standardisation of weights and measures in the State. The Dewan replied that the subject was under consideration. The members